

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,787	07/15/2003	John Simard	1951300-00006	1118
45290 7550 63/13/2008 KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP 1900 MAIN STREET, SUITE 600			EXAMINER	
			HURT, SHARON L	
IRVINE, CA 92614-7319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/620,787
 SIMARD ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 SHARON HURT
 1648

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHARON HURT and Bruce Campell.	(3)Michelle Glasky.
(2) <u>Louis Cullman</u> .	(4) <u>David Diamond</u> .
Date of Interview: <u>04 March 2008</u> .	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 1.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Thomson et al.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the generation	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was

reached, or any other comments: The Thomson reference was discussed in detail and compared to the invention. The obviousness rejection was discussed. Applicants discussed an after final action. No decision was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sharon Hurt/
Examiner, Art Unit 1648
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080304